arXiv:1403.7813v1 [math.NT] 30 Mar 2014

Multivariate arithmetical functions and vector calculus

Yusuke Fujisawa

April 1, 2014

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602 Japan
Mail: fujisawa.gifu@gmail.com

Abstract

The motivation of this paper is to construct the theory of vector calculus of multivariate arithmetical functions. We prove analogues of integral theorems and Poincare's lemma.

1 Introduction

Let d be a positive integer, $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers, and R a commutative ring with unit. We call a map $f : \mathbb{N}^d \to R$ an arithmetical function of d variables. Arithmetical functions of several variables were studied by several authors. (See, e.g., [1], [4], [7].) The motivation of this study is to consider vector calculus of arithmetical functions of d variables. First, we shall show analogues of integral theorems after we define analogues of exterior differential operators and boundary maps. Next, an analogue of Poincare's lemma will be proved. Our theorems provides a necessary and sufficient conditions that some simultaneous difference equations have a solution.

Vector calculus is a strong tool in physics and discrete vector calculus is developing in recent years. (See [3], [6], [8],etc.) Also, discrete differential geometry has been established. The author was influenced by the theory of discrete vector calculus. Our purpose is to construct vector calculus of arithmetical functions of d variables. While our investigation are essentially contained in [6] when $d = 3$, the results of this paper are partial generalization of results of discrete vector calculus.

Let G be an abelian group and take distinct elements $e_1, \cdots, e_d \in G$. We assume that subset $S \subset G$ has the following property;

$$a \in S \Longrightarrow a + e_i \in S. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{A}(S)$be the set of maps from S to R, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}(S) = \{f : S \to R\}.$$

We fix G, $e_1, \cdots, e_d$, and S.

We define R moldules $\Omega^q(S)$ as follows. First, let M be a free $\mathcal{A}(S)$ module of rank d which is generated by formal elements $dx_1, \cdots, dx_d$ and consider the exterior algebra of M, that is,

$$\bigwedge(M) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{d} \bigwedge^{q}(M).$$

Put $\Omega^q(S) = \bigwedge^q(M)$. Since $\{dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q} | 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq d\}$ is a basis of $\Omega^q(S)$, elements of $\Omega^q(S)$ are written in the form

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq d} f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \, dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}$$

where $f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \in \mathcal{A}(S)$. Thus, $\Omega^0(S)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{A}(S)$. Both $\Omega^q(S)$ and $\mathcal{A}(S)^{\binom{d}{q}}$ are R modules, and there is a bijective correspondence between them.

Also, we construct R modules $N_q(S)$ which can be regarded as a set of geometric objects. Let $N_0(S)$ be the set of formal finite sums

$$\sum r_a[a], \quad (r_a \in R, a \in S).$$

For $1 \leq q \leq d$, we define $N_q(S)$ as follows. Consider a formal element $A = [a : e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_q}]$ where $a \in S$ and $e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_q} \in \{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}, (i_1 < \cdots < i_q)$ are relatively distinct. $N_q(S)$ is the set of formal finite sums

$$\sum r_A A, \quad (r_A \in R, A = [a : e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_q}]).$$

If $d = 3$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}^3$, elements of $N_0(S)$, $N_1(S)$, $N_2(S)$, and $N_3(S)$ are formal sums of points, segments, parallelogram, and parallelepiped respectively.

In Section 2, we define R homomorphisms $D_q : \Omega^{q-1}(S) \to \Omega^q(S)$, $D'_q : N_q(S) \to N_{q-1}(S)$, $(1 \leq q \leq d)$, and R bilinear maps $B_q : \Omega^q(S) \times N_q(S) \to R$ $(0 \leq q \leq d)$. We sometimes write them D, D', and B when no confusion can arise. In this paper, D, D', and B are said to be exterior differential operators, boundary maps, and integrals respectively. The following theorem is proved in Section 2.

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$D_{q+1}D_q \equiv 0 \ (q = 1, \cdots, d-1),$$
$$D'_q D'_{q+1} \equiv 0 \ (q = 1, \cdots, d-1),$$

and

$$B_q(D_q\omega_{q-1},\ A_q) = B_{q-1}(\omega_{q-1},\ D'_q A_q), (q = 1, \cdots, d)$$

for $\omega_{q-1} \in \Omega^{q-1}(S)$ *and* $A_q \in N_q(S)$.

The above theorem contains analogues of integral theorems of (discrete) vector calculus. When $d = 3$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}^3$, essentially same results are written in [6]. B_0, B_1, B_2, and B_3 are regarded as an values of scalar fields, line integrals of vector fields, surface integrals of vector fields, and volume integrals of scalar fields respectively. Therefore,

$$B_1(D_1\omega_0,\ A_1) = B_0(\omega_0,\ D'_1 A_1),$$
$$B_2(D_2\omega_1,\ A_2) = B_1(\omega_1,\ D'_2 A_2),$$

and

$$B_3(D_3\omega_2,\ A_3) = B_2(\omega_2,\ D'_3 A_3),$$

correspond to potential theorem, Stokes' theorem, and divergence theorem respectively.

In addition, we consider whether the sequence of R modules

$$\Omega^0(S) \xrightarrow{D_1} \Omega^1(S) \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_q} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_d} \Omega^d(S)$$

is exact or not. This question seems natural in mathematics. For $q = 1, \cdots, d-1$, put

$$H^q(S) = \frac{\mathrm{Ker}\ (D_{q+1} : \Omega^q \to \Omega^{q+1})}{\mathrm{Im}\ (D_q : \Omega^{q-1} \to \Omega^q)}.$$

In Section 3, we assume that $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0),\ e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0),\ \cdots,\ e_d = (0, \cdots, 0, 1),$$

and $S = \mathbb{N}^d$. Then, an element of $\mathcal{A}(S) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}^d)$ is an arithmetical function of d variables. We determine $H^q(S) = H^q(\mathbb{N}^3)$ in this special case. If we put $H^0(\mathbb{N}^d) = \mathrm{Ker}\ D_1$ and $H^d(\mathbb{N}^d) = \mathcal{A}/\mathrm{Im}D_d$, then $H^0(\mathbb{N}^d) = R$ and $H^d(\mathbb{N}^d) = 0$ (by the definition of D in Section 2) . In Section 3, the following theorem which is an analogue of Poincare's lemma will be proved .

Theorem 2.

$$H^q(\mathbb{N}^d) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } q = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For an arithmetical function $a(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ of 3 variables, put

$$\partial_1 a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a(n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3) - a(n_1, n_2, n_3)$$
$$\partial_2 a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a(n_1, n_2 + 2, n_3) - a(n_1, n_2, n_3)$$

and

$$\partial_3 a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a(n_1, n_2, n_3 + 3) - a(n_1, n_2, n_3).$$

The following corollary is obtained by Theorem 2. Essentially the same result is written in [6]. The first assertion and the second assertion correspond to existences of scalar potentials and vector potentials respectively.

Corollary 3. *Let $a_1(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, $a_2(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, and $a_3(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ be arithmetical functions of 3 variables.*

(1) There exists an arithmetical function $b(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_1 b(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ \partial_2 b(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ \partial_3 b(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{cases}$$

if and only if

$$\partial_j a_i(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \partial_i a_j(n_1, n_2, n_3)$$

for any i and j.

(2) There exist three arithmetical functions $b_1(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, $b_2(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, and $b_3(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \partial_2 b_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) - \partial_3 b_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ a_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \partial_3 b_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) - \partial_1 b_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ a_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \partial_1 b_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) - \partial_2 b_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{cases}$$

if and only if

$$\partial_1 a_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) + \partial_2 a_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) + \partial_3 a_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0.$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we define $\partial_i f \in \mathcal{A} (i = 1, \cdots, d)$ by

$$(\partial_i f)(a) = f(a + e_i) - f(a).$$

Note that $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$.

First, we define exterior differential operators $D_q : \Omega^{q-1}(S) \to \Omega^q(S)$ $(q = 1, \cdots, d)$ as follows. For $f \in \Omega^0(S)$, put

$$D_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_i f \, dx_i \in \Omega^1(S).$$

For $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1} \leq d} f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}} \, dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \in \Omega^{q-1}(S)$, put

$$D_q(\omega) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1} \leq d} D_1\left(f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}}\right) \wedge \, dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \in \Omega^q(S).$$

We have the next lemma.

Lemma 4. *$Im(D_q) \subset Ker(D_{q+1})$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. It is the same as the theory of differential forms. (See [2] or [5].) □

When $d = 3$, D_1, D_2, and D_3 correspond to the gradient, the rotation, and the divergence respectively.

Remark 5. *Let A be an R module and consider R homomorphisms*

$$\partial_i : A \longrightarrow A \quad (i = 1, \cdots, d)$$

such that $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$ for any i and j. For $q = 0, \cdots, d$, put

$$\Omega^q = \{(f_{i_1 \cdots i_q})_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq d} \mid f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \in A\}.$$

In general, we can also do the same argument about these.

Before construction boundary maps, we introduce some notation. For $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$s_I(j) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{the order of } \{i \in I \mid i < j\} \text{ is even,} \\ -1 & \text{the oder of } \{i \in I \mid i < j\} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we construct D'_q. We define $D'_1 : N_1 \to N_0$ by

$$D'_1(E) = [a + e_l] - [a]$$

for $E = [a; e_l]$. Let $q = 2, \cdots, d$. We define $D'_q : N_q \to N_{q-1}$ by

$$D'_q(A)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(l_i) \left([a + e_{l_i} : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_{i-1}}, e_{l_{i+1}} \cdots, e_{l_q}] - [a : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_{i-1}}, e_{l_{i+1}} \cdots, e_{l_q}] \right)$$

for $A = [a; e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_q}]$ $(l_1 < \cdots < l_q)$. Here $I = \{l_1, \cdots, l_q\}$. We extend these maps as R linear maps.

For example,

$$D'_2(S) = [a + e_1; e_2] - [a; e_2] - [a + e_2; e_1] + [a; e_1]$$

for $S = [a; e_1, e_2] \in N_2$. In addition, we see

$$\begin{aligned} D'_3(C) = &[a + e_1; e_2, e_3] - [a; e_2, e_3] \\ &-[a + e_2;\ e_1, e_3] + [a; e_1, e_3] \\ &+[a + e_3; e_1, e_2] - [a; e_1, e_2] \end{aligned}$$

for $C = [a; e_1, e_2, e_3], \in N_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D'_4(A) = &[a + e_1; e_2, e_3, e_4] - [a; e_2, e_3, e_4] \\ &- [a + e_2; e_1, e_3, e_4] + [a; e_1, e_3, e_4] \\ &+ [a + e_3; e_1, e_2, e_4] - [a; e_1, e_2, e_4] \\ &- [a + e_4; e_1, e_2, e_3] + [a; e_1, e_2, e_3] \end{aligned}$$

for $A = [a; e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4], \in N_3$.

Lemma 6. *$Im(D'_{q+1}) \subset Ker(D'_q)$*

Proof. Let $S = [a : e_1, e_2] \in N_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} D'_1 D'_2(A) =& D_1[a + e_1; e_2] - D_1[a; e_2] - D_1[a + e_2; e_1] + D_1[a; e_1] \\ =& ([a + e_1 + e_2] - [a + e_1]) - ([a + e_2] - [a]) \\ &- ([a + e_1 + e_2] - [a + e_2]) + ([a + e_1] - [a]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since boundary maps are linear, we shall show $D'_{q-1} D'_q A = 0$ for $A = [a : e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_q}] \in N_q$. We may assume that $\{i_1, \cdots, i_q\} = \{1, \cdots, q\}$ without loss of generality. We see

$$D' D'(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \left(D'[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i}] - D'[a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i}] \right).$$

Here $I = \{1, \cdots, q\}$. Moreover, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
D'D'(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) \left([a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - [a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) \left([a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&=: \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4.
\end{aligned}$$

If we show $\Sigma_4 = 0$, $\Sigma_1 = 0$, and $\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 = 0$, the assertion follows. Note that

$$s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) = \begin{cases} s_I(j) & \text{if } j < i, \\ -s_I(j) & \text{if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \left(\sum_{j<i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] + \sum_{j>i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \left(\sum_{j<i} s_I(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{j>i} s_I(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j<i}^{q} s_I(i) s_I(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j>i} s_I(i) s_I(j) [a : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Sigma_4 = 0$. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \left(\sum_{j<i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] + \sum_{j>i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \left(\sum_{j<i} s_I(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{j>i} s_I(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j<i} s_I(i) s_I(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j>i} s_I(i) s_I(j) [a + e_i + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Sigma_1 = 0$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] + \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{q} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j<i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] + \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j>i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j<i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] + \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j>i} s_{I\setminus\{i\}}(j)[a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j<i} s_I(j)[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j>i} s_I(j)[a + e_i : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j<i} s_I(j)[a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}] - \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_I(i) \sum_{j>i} s_I(j)[a + e_j : \overbrace{e_1, \cdots, e_q}^{\text{omit } i \text{ and } j}].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 = 0$. □

Moreover, we define integrals B_q. For $f \in \Omega^0(S)$ and $[a] \in N_0(S)$, define

$$B_0(f, [a]) = f(a).$$

Let $q = 1, \cdots, d$. For

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq d} f_{i_1 \cdots i_q}\, dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q} \in \Omega^q(S)$$

and $A = [a : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_q}] \in N_q(S)$, define

$$B_q(\omega, A) = f_{l_1 \cdots l_q}(a).$$

We extend this map as bilinear map.

It remains to prove analogues of integral theorems. Let $f \in \Omega^0(S)$. For

$$D_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_i f dx_i \in \Omega^1(S)$$

and $E = [a; e_l]$, we see

$$B_1(D_1 f, E) = (\partial_l f)(a) = f(a + e_l) - f(a).$$

We also see

$$B_0(f, D_1' E) = B_0(f, [a + e_l] - [a]) = f(a + e_l) - f(a).$$

Thus, $B_1(D_1 f, E) = B_0(f, D_1' E)$.

For $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1}} f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}}\ dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \in \Omega^{q-1}(S)$ and $A = [a : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_q}] \in N_q(S)$ $(l_1 < \cdots < l_q)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\omega) &= \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1} \le d} D_1\left(f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}}\right) \wedge\ dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1} \le d} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_j f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}} dx_j \right) \wedge\ dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{q-1} \le d} \sum_{j \ne i_1, \cdots, i_{q-1}} \partial_j f_{i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}} dx_j \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{q-1}} \\
&= \partial_{l_1} f_{l_2 \cdots l_q} dx_{l_1} \wedge dx_{l_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{l_q} \\
&+ \partial_{l_2} f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q} dx_{l_2} \wedge dx_{l_1} \wedge dx_{l_3} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{l_q} \\
&+ \partial_{l_3} f_{l_1 l_2 l_4 \cdots l_q} dx_{l_3} \wedge dx_{l_1} \wedge dx_{l_2} \wedge dx_{l_4} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{l_q} \\
&+ \cdots \\
&+ \partial_{l_q} f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}} dx_{l_q} \wedge dx_{l_1} \wedge dx_{l_2} \wedge dx_{l_4} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{l_{q-1}} \\
&+ \text{other terms.}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_q(D\omega, A) &= \left(\partial_{l_1} f_{l_2 \cdots l_q} - \partial_{l_2} f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q} + \cdots + (-1)^{q-1} \partial_{l_q} f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}}\right)(a) \\
&= \left(f_{l_2 \cdots l_q}(a + e_{l_1}) - f_{l_2 \cdots l_q}(a)\right) - \left(f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q}(a + e_{l_2}) - f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q}(a)\right) \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^{q-1} \left(f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}}(a + e_{l_q}) - f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}}(a)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We also see

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{q-1}(\omega, D'A) &= \left(B_q(\omega, [a + e_{l_1} : e_{l_2}, \cdots, e_{l_q}]) - B_q(\omega, [a : e_{l_2}, \cdots, e_{l_q}])\right) \\
&- \left(B_q(\omega, [a + e_{l_2} : e_{l_1}, e_{l_3}, \cdots, e_{l_q}]) - B_q(\omega, [a : e_{l_1}, e_{l_3}, \cdots, e_{l_q}])\right) \\
&+ \cdots \\
&(-1)^{q-1} \left(B_q(\omega, [a + e_{l_q} : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_{q-1}}]) - B_q(\omega, [a : e_{l_1}, \cdots, e_{l_{q-1}}])\right) \\
&= \left(f_{l_2 \cdots l_q}(a + e_{l_1}) - f_{l_2 \cdots l_q}(a)\right) - \left(f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q}(a + e_{l_2}) - f_{l_1 l_3 \cdots l_q}(a)\right) \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^{q-1} \left(f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}}(a + e_{l_q}) - f_{l_1 \cdots l_{q-1}}(a)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $B_q(D_q \omega, A) = B_{q-1}(\omega, D_q' A)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Our purpose is to show an analogue of Poincare's lemma. The statements of Corollary 3 (1) and (2) correspond to $H^1(\mathbb{N}^3) = 0$ and $H^2(\mathbb{N}^3) = 0$ respectively.

First, we note that the fact $H^1(\mathbb{N}^d) = 0$ can be proved by an elementary method.

Proposition 7. *$H^1(\mathbb{N}^d) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $f_i (i = 1, \cdots, d)$ be arithmetical functions of d variables such that $\partial_i f_j = \partial_j f_i$ for any i and j. It is sufficient to show that there exists an arithmetical function $F(n_1, \cdots, n_d)$ of d variables such that $\partial_i F = f_i$ for any i.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} F(n_1, \cdots, n_2) = &\sum_{q_1=1}^{n_1-1} f_1(k_1, 1, \cdots, 1) \\ &+ \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2-1} f_2(n_1, k_2, 1, \cdots, 1) \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{k_d=1}^{n_d-1} f_d(n_1, \cdots, n_{d-1}, k_d). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_i F)(n_1, \cdots, n_2) = &\partial_i \left(\sum_{k_i=1}^{n_i-1} f_i(n_1, \cdots, n_{i-1}, k_i, 1, \cdots, 1) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k_{i+1}=1}^{n_{i+1}-1} (\partial_i f_{i+1})(n_1, \cdots, n_i, k_{i+1}, 1, \cdots, 1) \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{k_d=1}^{n_d-1} (\partial_i f_d)(n_1, \cdots, n_{d-1}, k_d). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, we see

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_i F)(n_1,\cdots,n_2) =& f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_i,1,\cdots,1)\\ &+\sum_{k_{i+1}=1}^{n_{i+1}-1}(\partial_{i+1}f_i)(n_1,\cdots,n_i,k_{i+1},1,\cdots,1)\\ &+\cdots\\ &+\sum_{k_d=1}^{n_d-1}(\partial_d f_i)(n_1,\cdots,n_{d-1},k_d)\\ =& f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_i,1,\cdots,1)\\ &+f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_{i+1},1,\cdots,1)-f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_i,1,\cdots,1)\\ &+\cdots\\ &+f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_d)-f_i(n_1,\cdots,n_{d-1},1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\partial_i F = f_i$. □

It remains to show that $H^q(\mathbb{N}^d) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. Let us show that $H^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ and $H^q(\mathbb{N}^d)$ are isomorphic as R modules. The proof is based on the similar method in [2] or [5].

For simplicity of notation, if $I = \{i_1,\cdots,i_q\}$ $(i_1 < \cdots < i_q)$, we write $f(n_1,\cdots,n_d)dx_I$ instead of $f(n_1,\cdots,n_d)dx_{i_1}\cdots dx_{i_q}$.

We define $\pi : \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{N}^d$ by $\pi(n_1,\cdots,n_d,t) = (n_1,\cdots,n_d)$ and $\pi^* : \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^d) \to \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ by

$$\pi^*(\omega) = f\circ\pi(n_1,\cdots,n_d,t)dx_I$$

where

$$\omega = f(n_1,\cdots,n_d)dx_I.$$

We define $s : \mathbb{N}^d \to \mathbb{N}^{d+1}$ by $s(n_1,\cdots,n_d) = (n_1,\cdots,n_d,1)$. In addition, we define $s^* : \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1}) \to \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^d)$ by

$$s^*(\omega) = \begin{cases} f\circ s(n_1,\cdots,n_d)dx_I & \text{if } d+1 \notin I,\\ 0 & \text{if } d+1 \in I\end{cases}$$

where

$$\omega = f(n_1,\cdots,n_d,t)dx_I.$$

An easy verification shows the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *π^* and s^* are compatible with D. (In particular, a closed form is mapped to a closed form by π^* or s^*.)*

Next, we define $K : \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1}) \to \Omega^{q-1}(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ as follows. Let

$$\omega = f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{I'} \in \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1}).$$

Here $I' = \{i_2, \cdots, i_q\}$. If $d+1 \notin \{i_1\} \cup I'$, we put

$$K(\omega) = 0.$$

If $i_1 = d+1$ and $d+1 \notin I'$, we put

$$K(\omega) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k) \right) dx_{I'}.$$

It is clare that $s^* \circ \pi^*$ is the identity map of $\Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^d)$. Conversely, $\pi^* \circ s^*$ is not the identity map of $\Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$. However, $\mathrm{Id} - \pi^* \circ s^*$ is zero on $H^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ by the next proposition. Therefore, we regard $\pi^* \circ s^*$ and $s^* \circ \pi^*$ as the identity maps of $H^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ and $H^q(\mathbb{N}^d)$ respectively. Thus, $H^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$ and $H^q(\mathbb{N}^d)$ are isomorphic. We can see Theorem 2 inductively.

Proposition 9. *We have $Id - \pi^* \circ s^* = DK + KD$ on $\Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$*

Proof. For

$$\omega = f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) dx_I \in \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1}),$$

we see

$$(Id - \pi^* \circ s^*)(\omega) = \begin{cases} \{f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) - f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, 1)\} dx_I & \text{if } d+1 \notin I, \\ f_I(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) dx_I & \text{if } d+1 \in I. \end{cases}$$

First, let

$$\omega = f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) dx_I \in \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1})$$

with $d+1 \notin I$. It is evident that $DK(\omega) = 0$ by definition of K. Since

$$\begin{aligned} KD(\omega) &= K\left(\partial_{d+1} f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) dx_{d+1} \wedge dx_I + \text{other tems}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \{f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k+1) - f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k)\} dx_I \\ &= \{f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) - f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, 1)\} dx_I, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(DK + KD)(\omega) = \{f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t) - f(n_1, \cdots, n_d, 1)\}dx_I.$$

Second, let

$$\omega = g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t)dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{I'} \in \Omega^q(\mathbb{N}^{d+1}).$$

Here $I' = \{i_2, \cdots, i_q\}$ and suppose that $i_1 = d+1$ and $d+1 \notin I'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} KD(\omega) &= K\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \partial_j g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t)dx_j \wedge dx_{d+1} \wedge dx_{I'}\right) \\ &= -K\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin \{d+1\} \cup I'}}^{d+1} \partial_j g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t)dx_{d+1} \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_{I'}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin \{d+1\} \cup I'}}^{d+1} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \partial_j g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k)dx_j \wedge dx_{I'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} DK(\omega) &= D\left(\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k)dx_{I'}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \partial_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k)\right) dx_j \wedge dx_{I'} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin \{d+1\} \cup I'}}^{d+1} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \partial_j g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, k)dx_j \wedge dx_{I'} + g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t)dx_{d+1} \wedge dx_{I'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(DK + KD)(\omega) = g(n_1, \cdots, n_d, t)dx_{d+1} \wedge dx_{I'}.$$

□

References

[1] E. Alkan, A. Zaharescu, and M. Zaki, *Arithmetical functions in several variables*, Int. J. Number Theory **1** (2005), no. 3, 383–399.

[2] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[3] R. Hefferlin, *Discrete vector calculus on periodic systems of atoms and molecules*, J. Math. Chem. **43** (2008), no. 1, 386–394.

[4] V. A. Liskovets, *A multivariate arithmetic function of combinatorial and topological significance*, Integers **10** (2010), A12, 155–177.

[5] I. Madsen and J. Tornehave, *From Calculus to cohomology*, Cambridge, 1997.

[6] N. Robidoux and S. Steinberg, *A discrete vector calculus in tensor grids*, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. **11** (2011), no.1, 23–66.

[7] E. D. Schwab, *Generalized arithmetical functions of three variables*, Int. J. Number Theory **6** (2010), no. 7, 1689–1699.

[8] W. Schwalm, B. Moritz, M. Giona, and M. Schwalm, *Vector difference calculus for physical lattice models*, Phys. Rev. E (3) **59** (1999), no. 1, part B, 1217–1233.